

A generalization of Fueter's theorem

Daniel Alayón-Solarz (danieldaniel@gmail.com)

February 2, 2008

Abstract

We show that Fueter's theorem holds for a more general class of quaternionic functions than those constructed by the Fueter's method.

Description of result

Let $f(z)$ be a holomorphic function defined on the upper complex plane. If we write $z = x + iy$ and $f(z) = u(z) + iv(z)$ we can construct a quaternionic function $f(p) = u(t, r) + v(t, r)\iota$ where $p = t + xi + yj + zk$, $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$ and

$$\iota = \frac{xi + yj + zk}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}} \quad (1)$$

If we denote D_l and D_r the left and right Fueter (or Dirac) operator then Fueter's theorem asserts that

$$D_l \Delta f = D_r \Delta f = 0 \quad (2)$$

such functions are never regular unless they are real constants, instead they satisfy:

$$D_l(f) = D_r(f) = \frac{-2v(t, r)}{r} \quad (3)$$

One can easily prove Fueter's theorem observing that:

$$-\frac{1}{2}\Delta(f) = \bar{D}\left(\frac{v}{r}\right) = -\frac{\iota}{r}\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{\iota}{r^2}v(t, r) \quad (4)$$

which is an axial symmetric function that can be written in the form $\tilde{f} = \tilde{u} + \iota\tilde{v}$ and that such functions are regular if and only if they satisfy

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \iota\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right)\tilde{f} = \frac{2\tilde{v}}{r} \quad (5)$$

Our result points out that if a quaternionic function of Class C^2 can be written as $f(p) = u(p) + \iota v(p)$ and satisfy

$$D_l(f) = \frac{-2v}{r} \quad (6)$$

then its laplacian will be left- and right-regular. Note that we are dropping the condition that the function is obtained by the Fueter's method and so the resulting functions need not be axial symmetric.

II. Preliminaries

Let $f(p)$ be a quaternionic, C^2 function that satisfy $f(p)p = pf(p)$. Then there exists real functions u, v such that

$$f(p) = u(p) + \iota v(p) \quad (7)$$

Notice that complex functions obtained by the Fueter's method are of this form. We write the quaternion p as

$$p = t + r\iota \quad (8)$$

And we parametrize ι by spherical coordinates.

$$\iota = (\cos \alpha \sin \beta, \sin \alpha \sin \beta, \cos \beta) \quad (9)$$

Let D_l denote the left-Fueter operator, so

$$D_l := \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + j \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + k \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \quad (10)$$

And we are interested in functions of the form (7) that satisfy

$$D_l f = \frac{-2v}{r} \quad (11)$$

The Fueter operator in (t, r, α, β) coordinates is written as

$$D_l = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \iota \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \iota} \quad (12)$$

where the symbol divided by r is defined as:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \iota} := \iota_\alpha^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} + \iota_\beta^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \quad (13)$$

and ι_α and ι_β denote the partial derivative of ι respect of α, β

As a function that satisfy (11) is holomorphic on the variables t, r the we conclude that it must hold

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \iota} = 2v \quad (14)$$

which occurs if and only if u and v satisfy the following Cauchy-Riemann type system:

$$\frac{1}{\sin \beta} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial \beta} \quad (15)$$

$$\frac{1}{\sin \beta} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \alpha} = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial \beta} \quad (16)$$

II. Proof of result

We calculate explicitly that if $f = u + \iota v$ satisfy (11) then:

$$\nabla \frac{v}{r} = 0 \quad (17)$$

Observe that

$$\bar{D}_l\left(\frac{v}{r}\right) = -\frac{\iota}{r} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{\iota}{r^2} v + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \iota} \quad (18)$$

Before proceeding, we will remark some properties that will be helpful.

The first remark is that if f satisfy (11) then $\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}$ will also satisfy (11). The second remark is to note that, for all functions f

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \iota}(\iota f) = 2f - \iota \frac{\partial f}{\partial \iota} \quad (19)$$

The third remark is that if $f = u + \iota v$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \iota} = 2v \quad (20)$$

is equivalent to

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \iota} = \iota \frac{\partial v}{\partial \iota} \quad (21)$$

The fourth remark is that if

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \iota} = 2v \quad (22)$$

then

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \iota}(\iota f) = 2u \quad (23)$$

Now, for the sake of readability we will apply D_l only to the first summand in (18) and we have:

$$D_l\left(-\frac{\iota}{r} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right) = \frac{1}{r^2} \left(-\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \iota}(\iota \frac{\partial f}{\partial t})\right) = \frac{1}{r^2} \left(-\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + 2\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right) \quad (24)$$

$$= \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \iota \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}\right) \quad (25)$$

We apply D_l to the second summand in (18) and we have:

$$D_l\left(\frac{\iota}{r^2} v\right) = \frac{\iota}{r^2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + \iota \left(-2\frac{\iota v}{r^3} + \frac{\iota}{r^2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial r}\right) + \frac{1}{r^3} \frac{\partial}{\partial \iota}(\iota v) \quad (26)$$

$$= \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\iota \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial r}\right) + \frac{1}{r^3} \iota \frac{\partial v}{\partial \iota} \quad (27)$$

We apply D_l to the third summand in (18) and we have:

$$D_l\left(\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \iota}\right) = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \iota} + \iota \left(\frac{-2}{r^3} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \iota} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \iota}\right) - \frac{1}{r^3} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \iota^2} = \quad (28)$$

$$\frac{1}{r^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \iota} + \iota \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \iota}\right) + \frac{1}{r^3} \left(-2\iota \frac{\partial v}{\partial \iota} - \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \iota^2}\right) \quad (29)$$

Now observe that in the first summand in (29)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \iota} + \iota \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \iota} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial \iota} + \iota \frac{\partial u}{\partial \iota} \right) = 0 \quad (30)$$

because of the third remark. We also observe that the sum of the first two summands in (25) and (27) is zero because f is holomorphic in t, r . So, for now we have established that

$$\nabla \frac{v}{r} = \frac{1}{r^3} \left(\iota \frac{\partial v}{\partial \iota} - 2\iota \frac{\partial v}{\partial \iota} - \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \iota^2} \right) = \frac{1}{r^3} \left(-\iota \frac{\partial v}{\partial \iota} - \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \iota^2} \right) \quad (31)$$

so we now must show that

$$\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \iota^2} = -\iota \frac{\partial v}{\partial \iota} \quad (32)$$

We first apply the definition

$$\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \iota^2} = (\iota_\alpha^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} + \iota_\beta^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}) (\iota_\alpha^{-1} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \alpha} + \iota_\beta^{-1} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \beta}) \quad (33)$$

to obtain

$$\iota_\alpha^{-1} ((\iota_\alpha^{-1})_\alpha \frac{\partial v}{\partial \alpha} + \iota_\alpha^{-1} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \alpha^2} + (\iota_\beta^{-1})_\alpha \frac{\partial v}{\partial \beta} + \iota_\beta^{-1} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta}) + \quad (34)$$

$$\iota_\beta^{-1} ((\iota_\alpha^{-1})_\beta \frac{\partial v}{\partial \alpha} + \iota_\alpha^{-1} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \beta \partial \alpha} + (\iota_\beta^{-1})_\beta \frac{\partial v}{\partial \beta} + \iota_\beta^{-1} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \beta^2}) \quad (35)$$

the cross derivatives vanish because v is assumed of class C^2 and ι_α and ι_β anticommute, so we have left:

$$\iota_\alpha^{-1} ((\iota_\alpha^{-1})_\alpha \frac{\partial v}{\partial \alpha} + \iota_\alpha^{-1} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \alpha^2} + (\iota_\beta^{-1})_\alpha \frac{\partial v}{\partial \beta}) + \quad (36)$$

$$\iota_\beta^{-1} ((\iota_\alpha^{-1})_\beta \frac{\partial v}{\partial \alpha} + (\iota_\beta^{-1})_\beta \frac{\partial v}{\partial \beta} + \iota_\beta^{-1} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \beta^2}) \quad (37)$$

However, we have the following equalities, which can be easily verified

$$\iota_\alpha^{-1} (\iota_\alpha^{-1})_\alpha + \iota_\beta^{-1} (\iota_\alpha^{-1})_\beta = -\iota_\alpha^{-1} \quad (38)$$

$$\iota_\beta^{-1} (\iota_\beta^{-1})_\beta = -\iota_\beta^{-1} \quad (39)$$

$$\iota_\beta^{-2} = -1 \quad (40)$$

$$\iota_\alpha^{-2} = -\frac{1}{\sin^2 \beta} \quad (41)$$

$$\iota_\alpha^{-1} (\iota_\beta^{-1})_\alpha = -\cot \beta \quad (42)$$

so we have that for any function $v \in C^2$ holds

$$\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \iota^2} = -\iota \frac{\partial v}{\partial \iota} - \frac{1}{\sin^2 \beta} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \alpha^2} - \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \beta^2} - \cot \beta \frac{\partial v}{\partial \beta} \quad (43)$$

So in order for our result to hold, we must have

$$\frac{1}{\sin^2 \beta} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \alpha^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \beta^2} = -\cot \beta \frac{\partial v}{\partial \beta} \quad (44)$$

but this can be easily deduced from (15) and (16) together. We have determined that if a function f is such that u, v are C^2 and satisfy (6) then its laplacian will be left regular, we now prove that its laplacian will be right-regular also. Fortunately, the hard work is already done and so this is almost immediate. First we observe that the operators $D_l, D_r, \bar{D}_l$ and $\bar{D}_r$ all commute with each other. It is also true that for any scalar function, say, g we have:

$$D_l g = D_r g \quad (45)$$

Now we use the fact that the right hand side of (6) is an scalar function. We already know that

$$0 = D_l \bar{D}_l D_l f = D_l \bar{D}_l \left(-2 \frac{v}{r}\right) = \bar{D}_l D_l \left(-2 \frac{v}{r}\right) = \bar{D}_l D_r \left(-2 \frac{v}{r}\right) \quad (46)$$

$$= D_r \bar{D}_l \left(-2 \frac{v}{r}\right) = D_r \bar{D}_l D_l f \quad (47)$$

And so have we proved our

Theorem 1 *Let f be a quaternionic, C^2 function that can be written as $f = u + \iota v$, where u, v are real functions and that satisfy*

$$D_l f = \frac{-2v}{r} \quad (48)$$

Then it holds that

$$D_l \Delta f = D_r \Delta f = 0 \quad (49)$$

Remark The author suspects that the condition C^2 can be relaxed to be C^1 , and that the C^2 can be deduced from the holomorphism in t, r . But he doesn't have a proof yet.